

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/699,713	13 11/03/2003		James R. Becker	P-3382.6	3526	
23122	7590	06/07/2005		EXAMINER		
RATNERP	RESTIA	L	DOERRLER, WILLIAM CHARLES			
P O BOX 98	_	PA 19482-0980	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
V.132211	J., J			3744		
				DATE MAILED: 06/07/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTOL-326 (R		ction Summa	r y Pa	rt of Paper No./Mail D	Date 20050601				
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		O-152)				
			,						
* s	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	•		d.					
	application from the International Burea		•	u in this National	ı Stage				
	 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 								
	1. Certified copies of the priority document								
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:								
1	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr	n priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119			•					
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. No	ne the attached Office	Action or form P	10-152.				
1410	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	-			` '				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the								
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 November 2003</u> is/a	-		•	miner.				
9) 🗌 .	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.							
Applicati	on Papers								
8) 🗌	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election re	equirement.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-17 and 19-24 is/are rejected.								
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					٠,			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra					×.			
	Claim(s) 1-17 and 19-24 is/are pending in the	application.							
 Dispositi	on of Claims								
	closed in accordance with the practice under	· ·	• •						
· —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	s action is n	on-final.						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 M	May 2005							
Status									
- Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	MAILING DATE: OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no even by within the state will apply and wi le, cause the app	atory minimum of thirty (30) days Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	s will be considered time the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL		O EXPIRE <u>3</u> MONTH(S) FROM					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence a	ddress				
		William C.		3744					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	,	Art Unit					
		10/699,7	13	BECKER, JAMES	S R.				
		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)					

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

In view of the papers filed 5-13-2005, it has been found that this nonprovisional application, as filed, through error and without deceptive intent, improperly set forth the inventorship, and accordingly, this application has been corrected in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(a). The inventorship of this application has been changed by adding Russell G. Allen Jr.

The application will be forwarded to the Office of Initial Patent Examination (OIPE) for issuance of a corrected filing receipt, and correction of Office records to reflect the inventorship as corrected.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hyde in view of Marcus et al.

Hyde discloses applicants' basic inventive concept, a dry ice extruded which compacts the dry ice prior to extrusion and cutting, substantially as claimed with the exception of a forming channel to allow the block to set prior to breaking. Marcus et al's chamber 27 represents a position to allow the dry ice to set prior to be broken. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention from the

Art Unit: 3744

teaching of Marcus et al to allow the dry ice to set to reduce the chance of breakage during moving of slab. The second column of page 2 of Marcus et al states that polygonal cross sections may be formed. Lines 15-22 of the first column of page 3 of Marcus et al state that different lengths can be formed, due to no internal cutting of the blocks.

Claims 2-13,15-17 and 19-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hyde in view of Marcus et al as applied to claims 1 and 14 above, and further in view of Anderson et al.

Hyde, as modified, discloses applicant's basic inventive concept, a dry ice extruder which compacts the dry ice prior to extrusion, substantially as claimed with the exception of tapering the extrusion die and using a pneumatic cylinder to power the block which breaks the slab. Anderson et al show these features to be old in the art in figure 6 and lines 28-28 of column 10. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention from the teaching of Anderson et al to modify the cry ice extruder of Hyde by using pneumatic power to provide an efficient and easily controlled block to break the dry ice and to taper the die to ensure proper extrusion. In regard to claims 22 and 24, the degree of taper is seen as a matter of obvious design choice to an ordinary practitioner in the art to provide compacted extrusions which are economically formed.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 5-13-2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant states that the breaking of the slab is an important aspect of

Art Unit: 3744

the invention (even though it was not initially claimed). It is unclear why an internal breaking device can't be set to break the extrusion at a given size. Nonetheless, Marcus and Anderson both show this feature to be old in the dry ice extruding art. Applicant states that the teaching of Anderson that tapered holes produce compact extrusions is not applicable since Anderson shows circular openings. This is not seen to negative the teachings since Marcus shows rectangular openings and cylinders.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3744

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William C. Doerrler whose telephone number is (571) 272-4807. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl Tyler can be reached on (571) 272-4834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

William C Doerrler Primary Examiner Art Unit 3744

WCD